UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/533,881   | 11/08/2005                           | Uwe Bornmann         | 2005_0773A          | 9687             |  |
|  | 7590 04/27/201<br>, LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W.,<br>Suite 400 East<br>Washington, DC 20005-1503 |                                      |                      | KENNEDY, TIMOTHY J  |                  |  |
|  |                                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                                      |                      | 1791                |                  |  |
|  |                                      |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                                      | NOTIFICATION DATE    | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |  |
|  |                                      |                      | 04/27/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/533,881      | BORNMANN ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |  |
| LXUIIIICI       | Artonic         |  |  |

|   | HWOTH KENNEDT   | '' 9  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | the same day as filing a Notice of a<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance            | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |  |
| periods:  |   |   | J  |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1   | f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amount of the corresponding than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two month  | s of the date of                         |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | •   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br>appeal; and/or   | ter form for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying t   | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: The use of a compaction band and the train  |   | ion froma takeup rolle  | r would require                          |  |  |  |  |
| <u>further consideration and searching.</u> (See 37 CFR   | * **  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |   | mpliant Amendment (   | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   | - <del></del>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | timely filed amendmer   | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov  |   | l be entered and an e   | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but  | t before or on the date of filing a No  | ntice of Anneal will not  | he entered                               |  |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Joseph S. Del Sole/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |